

Antibiotic-Resistance Patterns of Enteric Bacteria of Wild Mammals on the Krakatau Islands and West Java, Indonesia

S. R. Graves, S. A. Kennelly-Merrit, C. R. Tidemann, P. A. Rawlinson, K. J. Harvey and I. W. B. Thornton

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 1988 **322**, 339-353 doi: 10.1098/rstb.1988.0129

References Article cited in:

http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/322/1211/339#related-urls

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of the article or click here

To subscribe to Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B go to: http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 322, 339–353 (1988) [339] Printed in Great Britain

ANTIBIOTIC-RESISTANCE PATTERNS OF ENTERIC BACTERIA OF WILD MAMMALS ON THE KRAKATAU ISLANDS AND WEST JAVA, INDONESIA

By S. R. GRAVES¹, S. A. KENNELLY-MERRIT³, C. R. TIDEMANN⁴, P. A. RAWLINSON², K. J. HARVEY³ AND I. W. B. THORNTON²

Department of Microbiology, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria 3083, Australia
 Department of Zoology, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria 3083, Australia
 Department of Microbiology, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria 3052, Australia
 Department of Zoology, Australian National University, Canberra 2601, Australia

(Communicated by Sir David Smith, F.R.S. - Received 11 March 1987)

CONTENTS

		PAGE
1.	Introduction	340
2 .	Materials and methods	340
	(a) Faecal samples	340
	(b) Analysis	341
3.	RESULTS	341
	(a) Faecal flora	341
	(b) Antibiotic-resistance patterns	344
4 .	Discussion	346
	References	353

Apart from feral pigs on Panjang, rats (Rattus rattus and Rattus tiomanicus) and bats (various families, genera and species) are the only mammals resident on the Krakatau Islands. The two species of rat occur on separate islands, R. rattus on Rakata and R. tiomanicus on Panjang and Sertung. Both occur on Java. Of the two genera of bats examined, species of Cynopterus were found on Java and all the Krakatau islands, whereas Myotis muricola muricola was detected only on Java and Rakata. The main faecal bacteria of these mammals were shown to be Escherichia coli and species of Klebsiella, Enterobacter and Citrobacter, with other bacteria (e.g. Proteus sp., Pseudomonas sp., Aeromonas sp., Serratia sp., Proteus/Providencia sp., Morganella sp. and Streptococcus faecalis) being present in only a minority of individuals

Significant differences were noted between the faecal floras of the two rat species. E. coli was always present in R. rattus, but was less frequent in R. tiomanicus (p < 0.05), whereas species of Klebsiella and Citrobacter were less frequent in R. rattus than in R. tiomanicus (p < 0.05).

30-2

Differences between the faecal floras of the two bat genera were less significant, with the four main faecal bacteria being present in each genus. However, some individual M. muricola muricola yielded S. faecalis, Morganella sp. and Proteus/Providencia sp. whereas species of *Cynopterus* did not (p < 0.05).

S. faecalis was detected in rats and the bat M. muricola muricola on Java, but not in mammals on the Krakataus. This may be related to the absence of humans and their domesticated animals on the Krakatau islands.

Only on Java did rats carry tetracycline-resistant E. coli or tetracycline-resistant species of *Klebsiella*. This may be related to the widespread use of tetracycline by humans on Java, where tetracycline is available without medical supervision.

Isolates of E. coli from rats on Panjang were more resistant to chloramphenicol than were E. coli isolated from rats on the other islands of the Krakatau group, and isolates of Klebsiella from bats on Panjang were more resistant to sulphamethoxazole than were Klebsiella species isolated from bats on Rakata. The reason for faecal bacteria from Panjang mammals being more antibiotic resistant than those from mammals on other islands of the group is unclear, but may be related to differences in diet and vegetation, or the presence of feral pigs on Panjang only.

1. Introduction

Over a century has elapsed since the cataclysmic volcanic eruption of the Indonesian island of Krakatau (Krakatoa) in 1883 and the destruction of the fauna and flora of its remnant, Rataka, and the adjacent islands Sertung and Panjang. Although the recolonization of the islands by animals has been studied (Thornton 1984; Thornton & Rosengren 1988), there are no records of the gastrointestinal bacteria living within the animals. This study was designed to determine and compare the faecal flora of mammals on the Krakataus with that of mammals on nearby west Java. Specifically, we wished to determine whether the antibiotic-resistance patterns of the bacteria within mammals on Java, where antibiotics are in widespread use by humans, differed from those of bacteria from mammals on the Krakatau islands, where there is no permanent human population.

2. Materials and methods

This work was done as part of the 1985 La Trobe University Zoological Expedition to the Krakatau Islands (Thornton & Rosengren 1988). All mammals sampled from west Java were captured in or near the coastal village of Carita, about 10 km north of the port of Labuan.

(a) Faecal samples

All samples were taken from live mammals within a few hours of capture. Rats were caught in Elliott traps baited with cheese or peanuts. Bats were caught in nylon mesh nets set up over openings to caves or in clearings in the vegetation. Species identifications were done by P. A. Rawlinson (rats) and C. R. Tidemann (bats).

The skin surrounding the anus was vigorously cleansed with 70 % isopropyl alcohol by using a hospital skin cleansing swab, to prevent skin bacteria from contaminating the sampling swab. The sterile sampling swab (Johns Professional Products, Oakleigh, Australia) was of the type used for sampling the human urethra, being 0.1 cm in diameter and 1 cm long, attached to a firm metal handle 18 cm long. It was inserted approximately 2 cm into the rectum of the animal and rotated several times to ensure the transfer of faecal material onto the swab. The

swab was immediately transferred to Stuart's transport medium and stored at ambient temperature (approximately 30 °C) for between 2 and 5 weeks (refrigeration was not available).

(b) Analysis

On return to Australia all swabs were plated on to MacConkey agar (MCA) (selective for enteric bacteria) and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. Individual colonies were selected from the MCA plates, eight from each plate. Care was taken to ensure that at least one of all colonial morphologies was selected. Each of the eight colonies was then processed individually to determine its species and antibiotic-resistance pattern, according to standard microbiological diagnostic procedures. Identification by Gram-stain and biochemical characteristics enabled almost all isolates to be classified to species, some to genus only, and a few remained unidentified Gram-negative rods. For the sake of simplicity of nomenclature, bacteria in this study have been grouped according to genus only, with the exception of *Escherichia coli* and *Streptococcus faecalis*.

Individual isolates (except *S. faecalis*, being the only gram-positive bacterium in the study) were examined for resistance to seven antibiotics by means of replica-plating on to seven separate antibiotic-containing agar plates. Each plate contained one of the following: ampicillin 8 µg ml⁻¹, chloramphenicol 8 µg ml⁻¹, sulphamethoxazole 20 µg ml⁻¹, trimethoprim 1 µg ml⁻¹, gentamicin 4 µg ml⁻¹, cephalothin 8 µg ml⁻¹, tetracycline 4 µg ml⁻¹.

Either χ^2 or Fisher's exact probability test were used to compare data, depending on sample size.

3. RESULTS

A total of 32 rats and 27 bats were sampled. All swabs grew bacteria on return to Australia, but it is not known if more sensitive bacteria failed to survive in the transport medium.

(a) Faecal flora

(i) Rats

The four predominant bacteria isolated from rats were Escherichia coli, Klebsiella, Enterobacter and Citrobacter, with lower numbers of rats containing S. faecalis, Proteus, Pseudomonas, Aeromonas and Serratia (table 1).

Only rats on Java were found to harbour S. faecalis (4 out of 12 individuals). This bacterium was not detected from any of the 20 rats sampled on the Krakatau islands.

Significant differences were noted between the faecal floras of the two species of *Rattus* (table 2). E. coli was uniformly present in R. rattus but not always present in R. tiomanicus. Klebsiella and Citrobacter were more common in R. tiomanicus than R. rattus (p < 0.05).

(ii) Bats

Two genera of bats were studied: Cynopterus (Megachiroptera, Pteropodidae) and Myotis (Microchiroptera, Vespertilionidae). Three species of the fruit bat genus Cynopterus were examined: C. tittaecheilus tittaecheilus (Java and Panjang), C. brachyotis javanicus (Java only) and C. sphinx angulatus (Rakata, Sertung and Anak Krakatau).

The main faecal bacteria in the Cynopterus species were E. coli, Klebsiella and Enterobacter; isolations of Citrobacter, Pseudomonas, Serratia and Staphylococcus were also made from some bats (table 3). Of the 21 individuals of Cynopterus species examined, 43% contained only one

TABLE 1. FAECAL FLORA OF RATS ON JAVA AND THE KRAKATAU ISLANDS

site	code number of rat	species of rat	Escherichia coli ^a	Klebsiella ^b	Enterobacter ^c	Citrobacter ^d	Proteus	other bacteria
	/ 11 J \		X	X	X	•	X	S. faecalis
	12 J		X					S. faecalis
	13J		\mathbf{X}	X	X	X	•	
	14 J		X	•	•		•	
	15J		\mathbf{X}		•			S. faecalis
Java	(16J)	R. rattus	\mathbf{X}	X	X		•	
	17J		X	•	X	X		
	18J		X	X				
	19J		X		•	•	•	
	20 J		\mathbf{X}	X	•			Aeromonas
	21 J		X	•	•		•	S. faecalis
	(22J/		X	X	X	•	•	
	(71 R)		\mathbf{X}	•	•	\mathbf{X}		
Rakata	72 R	D. nattara	X		X			
Nakata	82 R	R. rattus	\mathbf{X}		X	\mathbf{X}		
	(83 R)		X		X	X		
	(35 P)		X	X		•		
	36 P			X	X			
Panjang [*]	37 P (R. tiomanicus	X	X	X	X		
1 anjang	38 P	R. nomanicus	•	X		X		Pseudomonas
	39 P		X	X		X		unidentified GNR
	(40 P)		X	X	•	X	X	unidentified GNR
	/43S\		X	X	X	\mathbf{X}		
	44 S		X		X	X	X	
	45 S		X	•	X	•	\mathbf{X}	unidentified GNR
	46 S		X	•	•		X	
Sertung	47S	R. tiomanicus	X	X		X		
	48S			X	X	X		
	49 S			X	•	X	X	unidentified GNR
	50 S		X	X		X	•	Serratia
	51 S		X	\mathbf{X}		X	•	
	\52 S/		\mathbf{X}	X	•	X		

^a See table 6; ^b see table 9; ^c see table 11; ^d see table 12; X this bacterium isolated from the specified rat; GNR, Gram-negative rod.

TABLE 2. COMPARISON OF THE MICROBIAL FAECAL FLORA OF RATTUS RATTUS AND RATTUS TIOMANICUS: PERCENTAGE OF RATS HARBOURING THE SPECIFIED BACTERIUM

rat species ^a	Escherichia coli	Klebsiella sp.	Enterobacter sp.	Citrobacter sp.
Rattus rattus (Java and Rakata) (16)	100	38	50	31
Rattus tiomanicus (Panjang and Sertung) (16)	75	81	38	75
difference	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	n.s.	p < 0.05

^a Number of rats sampled in parentheses; n.s., not significant.

type of bacterium in their faeces. In only 6% of the 32 rats examined was only one type of bacterium present.

Only six individuals of *M. muricola muricola* were examined (table 4). Two of the three individuals from Java contained *S. faecalis*, but none from Rakata did so. Although numbers are small, this parallels the result for rats; only Javan rats contained *S. faecalis* (table 1).

THE ROYAL

THE ROYAL

PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS

	other bacteria					٠			٠	unGNR	٠			٠	٠		unGPR	-	!- -	•	
	Serratia		•			٠	٠		٠		٠					•			. >	<	· ×
Gram-negative rods	Pseudomonas				×	•		٠						٠	•				. >	< ≻	ᢤ •
	Citrobacter ^d	×	×				×		•	•	•				×		٠				
	$\it Enterobacter^c$					•	٠	٠	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	·	•	
	$Klebsiella^{\mathtt{b}}$				×	×	×				×				×	×	•		•		
	Escherichia coli³		×	×	×	×	×	×		٠			•	•	•	×	•	•	· >	< >	〈 ·
	species of bat	C. tittaecheilus tittaecheilus	C. brachyotis	C. brachyotis javanicus			C. sphinx	angulatus)				C. tittaecheilus	tittaecheilus			C. sohinx	angulatus)	C. sphinx	angulatus
code	number of bat	(1J	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 2J \end{array}\right.$	10)	(32R)	33 R	34 R	60R (69 R	(70R)	(42P)	77 P	78P	\ 79P \	80 P	(81P)	(578)	288 E	(59S)	25 A	20 A (27 A)
	site		Java				-	Kakata						Panjang				Sertung		Anak	Krakatau

^a See table 13; ^b see table 14; ^c sée table 16; ^d all isolates resistant to cephalothin, with 80% resistant to ampicillin also; X, this bacterium is isolated from the specified bat; uncnr, unidentified Gram-negative rod; ungrr, unidentified Gram-positive rod; † Staphylococcus sp. coagulase-negative.

Table 4. Faecal flora of bats $(Myotis\ muricola\ muricola)$ on Java and the Krakatau Islands

other	bacteria	S. faecalis	S. faecalis	•		•	
	Morganella		×	٠	•	•	×
Protens/	Providencia Providencia		×	•	٠	×	•
	Serratia		•	×	٠	•	•
ram-negative rods	Citrobacter						Xs
	Enterobacter				X_2	X4	X^2
	Klebsiella					X ₃	•
Escherichia	coli	•	•	•	X ₁		•
code	of bat	(eJ	$\{23\}$	(24)	(61 R	$\{62R$	(63R
	site		Java			Rakata	

¹ Antibiotic resistance of E. coli: Sul^r; ² antibiotic resistance of Enterobacter: Cep^r; ³ antibiotic resistance of Rebsiella: Amp^r; ⁴ antibiotic resistance of Enterobacter: Cep' and Amp': Cep' (two different isolates); ⁵ antibiotic resistance of Citrobacter: Cep'; X, this bacterium isolated from the specified bat.

343

Table 5. Comparison of microbial faecal flora of bats (*Cynopterus* spp. and *Myotis muricola muricola*): percentage of bats harbouring the specified bacterium

				gative rods			
bat species1	Morganella	Proteus/ Providencia	Escherichia coli	Klebsiella	Enterobacter	Citrobacter	other bacteria
Cynopterus spp. (21) ²	nil	nil	43%	29%	48%	19 %	nil
Myotis muricola muricola (6)	33%	33%	17 %	17%	50%	17 %	$33\%^3$
difference	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	n.s	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	p < 0.05

¹ Number of bats sampled in parentheses; ² includes C. tittaecheilus tittaecheilus, C. brachyotis javanicus and C. sphinx angulatus; ³ Streptococcus faecalis; n.s, not significant.

None of the six bats sampled on Java (Cynopterus and Myotis) contained Klebsiella or Enterobacter although these bacteria were common in bats on the Krakatau islands. However, because of small numbers sampled on Java, this may be a statistical artefact.

Significant differences were noted with respect to S. faecalis, Morganella and Proteus/Providencia between the faecal floras of Cynopterus species and M. muricola muricola. These bacteria were not detected in any of the 21 Cynopterus individuals sampled, yet two of the six individuals of M. muricola muricola contained one or more of these bacteria (table 5).

(b) Antibiotic-resistance patterns

(i) Faecal flora of rats

Escherichia coli (table 6). Although many isolates were fully sensitive to all seven antibiotics tested, others were resistant to one, two, three or four antibiotics. Only on Java was tetracycline resistance detected, in 2 of the 20 E. coli isolates (table 7) and in 2 of the 12 rats sampled (rats 19 J and 21 J, table 6). No isolates from the Krakatau islands were tetracycline resistant (table 7). Overall, however, the isolates from Java were no more resistant than those from the Krakatau islands. Isolates from Panjang were apparently more resistant than isolates from other islands of the Krakatau group (table 6), although the difference was not quite statistically significant (p = 0.08). When resistance to chloramphenicol alone was considered (table 8), the E. coli isolates from Panjang (3 out of 7 resistant) were more resistant than isolates from the other islands (1 out of 4 resistant).

Klebsiella (table 9). Very few isolates were fully sensitive to all antibiotics tested. Most were resistant to one, two or three antibiotics. Only on Java was tetracycline resistance detected, in 3 out of 11 Klebsiella isolates (table 7) and in 2 of the 6 rats sampled (rats 13 J and 20 J, table 9). No isolates from the Krakatau islands were tetracycline resistant (table 7). Only rats from Java contained tetracycline-resistant E. coli or Klebsiella (table 10). However, no rat contained both tetracycline-resistant E. coli and tetracycline-resistant Klebsiella.

Enterobacter (table 11). No isolates were fully sensitive to all antibiotics tested. Most were resistant to one, two, three or four antibiotics. There was no difference in antibiotic resistance between isolates from Java and those from the Krakatau islands.

Table 6. Comparison of antibiotic-resistance patterns of *Escherichia coli* from rectal swabs of rats on Java and the Krakatau Islands

site	code number of rat	species of rat	number of different <i>E. coli</i> isolated from rats	antibiotic- resistance pattern†	average numl antibiotic-resis factors per <i>E</i> isolate	stance
	/ 11 J \		2	Cep ^r		
	12J		2	Cep ^r ; Sul ^r Cep ^r		
	13.J		1	Cep ^r ; Chl ^r fully sensitive		
	14 J		ī	fully sensitive		
	15 J		1	fully sensitive		
	16J		2	fully sensitive Tri ^r		
Java	/ 17J \	R. rattus	1	fully sensitive	1.05	
Ü	18J		1	fully sensitive	1.00	
	19J		4	fully sensitive Cep ^r Sul ^r ; Tri ^r Amp ^r ; Tet ^r ; Sul ^r		
	20 J		1	Sul ^r ; Chl ^r		
	20J		3	Chl ^r		
				Tet ^r ; Chl ^r Sul ^r ; Chl ^r		
	\22J <i>]</i>		1	Chl ^r	1	
	$\binom{71\mathrm{R}}{}$	n	2	fully sensitive Sul ^r		
Rataka	$\left\langle 72R\right\rangle$	R. rattus	1	Cepr	0.80	
	82 R		1	Cepr		
	(83 R)		1	Cep ^r		
	43S		1	fully sensitive		
	44 S		1	fully sensitive		
	45 S 46 S		1	fully sensitive		
Sertung	403 47S	R. tiomanicus	$\overset{1}{2}$	fully sensitive Chl ^r	0.56	0.64‡
Scruing	1475	it. womanicus	2	Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Chl ^r	0.50	0.04
	50 S		1	fully sensitive		
	51 S		1	fully sensitive		
	\52S/		1	Tri ^r		
	(35 P)		2	Cep ^r ; Sul ^r ; Chl ^r		
	37 P		3	fully sensitive		
Panjang	1	R. tiomanicus		Cep ^r	1 571	
J B) (ic. nomanicus		Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Chl ^r	1.57‡	
	39 P		1	fully sensitive]	
	(40 P)		1	fully sensitive)	

[†] Amp^r, resistant to ampicillin; Cap^r, resistant to cephalothin; Tet^r, resistant to tetracycline; Sul^r, resistant to sulphamethoxazole; Tri^r, resistant to trimethoprim; Chl^r, resistant to chloramphenicol. ‡ Difference not significant (p = 0.08).

Citrobacter (table 12). Only one isolate was fully sensitive to all antibiotics tested. Most were resistant to one antibiotic, but a few were resistant to two, three or four antibiotics. It was not possible to compare west Java with the Krakatau islands because only two rats from Java were found with Citrobacter. The isolates on Panjang appeared to be more resistant than those from

Table 7. Comparison of E. Coli and Klebsiella strains from rats on Java and the Krakatau Islands: resistance (Tet^r or sensitivity (Tet^s) to tetracycline

	percentage of bacterial strains (numbers in parentheses) isolated from rats on			
	Java	Krakatau Islands		
E. coli				
Tet^r	10% (2)	0% (0)		
Tet ^s	90 % (18)	100%(21)		
Klebsiella				
Tet^{r}	$27 \% (3)^a$	0% (0)		
Tet ^s	73 % (8)	100%(25)		
	^a $p < 0.05$.			

Table 8. Comparison of E. COLI isolates from rats on Panjang and other Krakatau islands: resistance (Chl^R) or sensitivity (Chl^S) to chloramphenical

		of E. coli strains (numbers ses) isolated from rats on
	Panjang	Rakata and Sertung
Chl ^r	43% (3)	7% (1)
Chl ^s	57 % (4)	93 % (13)
	p < 0	0.05.

Rakata and Sertung, but numbers of isolates were low, and this difference was not statistically significant.

(ii) Faecal flora of bats

Escherichia coli (table 13). Most isolates were fully sensitive to all antibiotics tested. However, some isolates were resistant to one or two antibiotics. The isolates from Java appeared to be more resistant than those from the Krakatau Islands, but numbers of isolates were low (and only two bats from Java contained E. coli), and this difference cannot be regarded as biologically significant.

Klebsiella (table 14). Few isolations were made, with only three bats on Rakata and three on Panjang containing this bacterium. The isolates from Panjang appeared to be more antibiotic resistant than those from Rakata, but again low numbers prevented this from being statistically significant. However, when resistance to sulphamethoxazole alone was considered, the Panjang Klebsiella isolates were significantly more resistant than those from Rakata (table 15).

Enterobacter (table 16). None of the isolates was sensitive to all the antibiotics tested. Most were resistant to between one and six antibiotics. The most resistant isolates were from bats on Sertung rather than Panjang, but as only two bats were sampled on Sertung this difference has no biological significance.

4. Discussion

Apart from feral pigs on Panjang, the only mammals on the Krakatau Islands are bats and rats. Bats and rats showed considerable similarities in their faecal flora, with *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter* being present in many animals of both groups. *Citrobacter* was also

Table 9. Comparison of antibiotic-resistance patterns of Klebsiella from rectal swabs of rats on Java and the Krakatau Islands

		ep ^r ; Tet ^r
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Amp ^r ; Su 20J 1 Amp ^r ; Te	et ^r
(40P) 1 Amp' /	Ampr; Su	itive
$ \begin{pmatrix} 43 S \\ 47 S \\ 48 S \\ & 2 & Amp^r \\ Amp^r; Cep^r; Chl^r \\ & 3 & Amp^r \\ Cep^r \\ Amp^r; Chl^r \\ & 49 S \\ & 50 S \\ & 50 S \\ & 51 S \\ & 52 S \\ & 52 S \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & &$	Ampr Ampr Cepr Cepr	ep ^r ; Chl ^r hl ^r hl ^r itive

† Including K. pneumoniae, K. cloacae and K. oxytoca; ‡ Amp^r, resistant to ampicillin; Cep^r, resistant to cephalothin; Tet^r, resistant to tetracycline; Sul^r, resistant to sulphamethoxazole; Tri^r, resistant to trimethoprim; Chl^r, resistant to chloramphenicol; § difference not significant.

common in rats but not in bats. A variety of other enteric bacteria was isolated, with considerable variation between individual animals.

The two bat genera have very different foraging modes. Myotis species are aerial insectivores, whereas Cynopterus species are arboreal frugivores, and this may explain, in part, their different faecal flora. M. muricola contained a greater variety of bacteria, including some bacteria that were not detected in the Cynopterus species (e.g. Morganella, Proteus/Providencia and S. faecalis). The latter bacterium, being of human faecal origin, may indicate that these insectivorous bats ingest copraphagous invertebrates. Cynopterus species had a more restricted range of faecal

Table 10. Comparison of rats (Rattus spp.) on Java and the Krakatau Islands: resistance of gut flora (Escherichia coli and Klebsiella) to tetracycline (Tet^{r})

percentage of rats containing $E.\ coli$ or Klebsiella with Tet^r factor (number of rats sampled in parentheses)

Java $33\% \uparrow (4/12)$ Krakatau 0% (0/20) p < 0.05. \uparrow Rats 13, 19, 20 and 21.

Table 11. Comparison of antibiotic-resistance patterns of *Enterobacter* from rectal swabs of rats on Java and the Krakatau Islands

site	code number of rat	species of rat	number of different Enterobacter isolated from rats†	antibiotic- resistance pattern‡	average number of antibiotic- resistance factors per <i>Enterobacter</i> isolate
Java	$\begin{pmatrix} 11 J \\ 13 J \\ 16 J \\ 17 J \\ 22 J \end{pmatrix}$	R. rattus	1 1 1 1 2	Cep ^r ; Tet ^r ; Chl ^r Amp ^r ; Tet ^r ; Chl ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r Cep ^r Cep ^r ; Chl ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Chl ^r	$2.33\S$
Rakata	$ \begin{cases} 72 R \\ 82 R \\ 83 R \end{cases} $	R. rattus	1 1 1	$\left. egin{array}{ll} Amp^r; Cep^r; Chl^r \ Cep^r; Tet^r \ Cep^r; Tet^r \end{array} ight. ight.$	2.33
Panjang	${36P \brace 37P}$	R. tiomanicus	2	$\left. egin{array}{l} \operatorname{Cep^r} \\ \operatorname{Amp^r}; \ \operatorname{Cep^r}; \ \operatorname{Sul^r}; \ \operatorname{Chl^r} \\ \operatorname{Amp^r} \end{array} ight.$	$\left 2.00 \right 2.08 $
Sertung	$\begin{pmatrix} 438 \\ 448 \\ 458 \\ 488 \end{pmatrix}$	R. tiomanicus	1 1 2 2	Cepr Ampr; Cepr Cepr Ampr; Cepr; Tetr Cepr; Chlr Cepr; Tetr; Chlr	2.00

[†] Including E. aerogenes, E. cloacae, E. agglomerans; ‡ Amp^r, resistant to ampicillin; Cep^r, resistant to cephalothin; Tet^r, resistant to tetracycline; Sul^r, resistant to sulphamethoxazole; Chl^r, resistant to chloramphenicol; § difference not significant.

bacteria, and 43% of individuals contained but a single species of bacterium (table 3). This may be related to a restricted range of bacteria on the fruit eaten by these bats. *Pseudomonas* was detected in *Cynopterus* species (3 out of 21 individuals), but not from any of the six *M. muricola* sampled.

The observed differences between the enteric flora of the two species of rats on the Krakataus (table 2) may represent a basic physiological difference in their gastrointestinal tracts, rather than differences in their diet. Species of *Rattus* are arboreal scavengers or terrestrial scavengers or both, and also eat fruit, both fallen and on trees. It is unlikely that the diets of the two *Rattus* species are significantly different. However, the species are allopatric on

Table 12. Comparison of antibiotic-resistance patterns of *Citrobacter* from rectal swabs of rats on Java and the Krakatau Islands

site	code number of rat	species of rat	number of different Citrobacter isolated from rats†	antibiotic- resistance pattern‡	average number of antibiotic- resistance factors per <i>Citrobacter</i> isolate
Java	(13 J) 17 J	R. rattus	1 1	Cep ^r Cep ^r	1.00
Rakata	$ \begin{cases} 71 R \\ 82 R \\ 83 R \end{cases} $	R. rattus	1 1 1	Cep ^r Amp ^r ; Sul ^r Cep ^r	} 1.33
Sertung	43S 44S 47S 48S 49S 50S 51S 52S	R. tiomanicus	2 2 1 1 1 1 1	fully sensitive Cep ^r Cep ^r ; Chl ^r Cep ^r Cep ^r Cep ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Chl ^r Cep ^r	1.20
Panjang	38 P 38 P 39 P 40 P	R. tiomanicus	2 1 1 1	Amp'; Cep'; Sul' Amp'; Cep'; Sul'; To Cep' Cep' Cep'	$\left. \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{ri}^{r} \\ \end{array} \right\} = 2.00 \S$

[†] Including C. freundii, C. diversus and unspeciated isolates; ‡ Amp^r, resistant to ampicillin; Cep^r, resistant to cephalothin; Sul^r, resistant to sulphamethoxazole; Tri^r, resistant to trimethoprim; Chl^r, resistant to chloramphenicol; § difference not significant.

Table 13. Comparison of antibiotic-reistance patterns of *Escherichia coli* from rectal swabs of bats (*Cynopterus* spp.) on Java and the Krakatau Islands

site	code number of bat	species of bat	number of different <i>E. coli</i> isolated	antibiotic- resistance pattern†	average number of antibiotic- resistance factors per <i>E. coli</i> isolate
Java	${2J \brace 10J}$	C. brachyotis javanicus	3	fully sensitive Tri ^r Sul ^r fully sensitive Cep ^r Cep ^r ; Sul ^r	0.83‡
Anak Krakatau	26 A	C. sphinx angulatus	2	fully sensitive Cep ^r fully sensitive	
Rakata	33 R 34 R 60 R	C. sphinx angulatus	1 1 2	fully sensitive fully sensitive fully sensitive Sul ^r	$0.25 \ddagger$
Panjang	81 P	C. tittaecheilus tittaecheilus	1	fully sensitive	

[†] Tri^r, resistant to trimethoprim; Sul^r, resistant to sulphamethoxazole; Cep^r, resistant to cephalothin.

[§] Difference not significant.

Table 14. Comparison of antibiotic-resistance patterns of *Klebsiella* from rectal swabs of bats (*Cynopterus* spp.) on Rakata and Panjang

site	code number of bat	species of bat	number of different <i>Klebsiella</i> isolated†	antibiotics- resistance pattern‡	average number of antibiotic-resistance factors per Klebsiella isolate
	(32 R)		1	Amp ^r	
Rakata	J 33 R	C. sphinx	2	fully sensitive	
) (angulatus		Amp ^r	$0.6\S$
	(34 R)		2	fully sensitive	
				Amp ^r	
	(42 P)		2	Amp ^r ; Sul ^r γ	
Panjang		C. tittaecheilus		Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Sul ^r	
]80 P ∫	tittaecheilus	1	Ampr	$2.0\S$
	(81 P)		2	Amp ^r	v
				Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Sul ^r	

[†] Including K. pneumoniae, K. ozanae, K. aerogenes and K. oxytoca. ‡ Amp^r, resistant to ampicillin; Cep^r, resistant to cephalothin; Tet^r, resistant to tetracycline; Sul^r, resistant to sulphamethoxazole. § Difference not significant (p = 0.08).

Table 15. Comparison of Klebsiella isolates from bats on Panjang and Rakata: resistance (Sul⁸) or sensitivity (Sul⁸) to sulphamethoxazole

	strains (n parenthes	of Klebsiella umbers in es) isolated pats on:
	Panjang	Rakata
Sul ^r Sul ^s	60 % (3) 40 % (2)	0 % (0) 100 % (5)
	p < 0.05	100 /0 (0)

the archipelago (table 1), and this may account for their different faecal floras. It will be interesting to see which species eventually colonizes Anak Krakatau and whether it has a distinctive faecal flora. Up to 1985, only one individual (*R. rattus*) has been found on Anak Krakatau (Thornton & Rosengren 1988).

In comparing the mammals caught on West Java with those from the Krakatau islands, the most outstanding difference was the total absence of S. faecalis from animals on the Krakataus. Several rats and bats from west Java contained this bacterium, which is a common enteric bacterium of humans. It is a Gram-positive coccus, unlike most other enteric bacteria which are Gram-negative rods, yet grows on MacConkey agar because of its resistance to bile salts. It is generally considered to be a good indicator of faecal pollution of the environment. Considering the large human population of Java and the inadequate sewage disposal systems, it is not surprising that faecal release into the environment occurs. Rats and bats are apparently ingesting these faecal bacteria, which are then establishing themselves in the animals' intestines. The mammals on the uninhabited Krakatau islands 44 km away appear to have not yet been colonized by S. faecalis. This situation may change if large scale exposure to human faeces occurs in the future. S. faecalis is not of itself a dangerous bacterium but it does indicate an effect of humans on the environment in west Java.

The detection of tetracycline-resistant E. coli and Klebsiella in the gastrointestinal tract of rats

351

Table 16. Comparison of antibiotic-resistance patterns of *Enterobacter* from rectal swabs of bats (*Cynopterus* spp.) on Rakata, Panjang and Sertun.

site	code number of bat	species of bat	number of different <i>Enterobacter</i> isolated†	antibiotic- resistance patterns‡	average number of antibiotic- resistance factors per <i>Enterobacter</i> isolate
Rakata	${69R \brace 70R}$	C. sphinx angulatus	1 1	$\left. \begin{array}{l} Amp^r; \ Cep^r \\ Amp^r; \ Cep^r \end{array} \right\}$	2.0
	(42 P)		2	Cep ^r	
	77 P		3	Amp ^r ; Cep ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Tet ^r ; Sul ^r ; Tri ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Tet ^r ; Gen ^r ;	
Panjang	78 P	C. tittaecheilus tittaecheilus	3	Tri ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Tet ^r Cep ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Tet ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Tet ^r	2.5§
	79 P		1	Cep ^r	
	80 P		4	Cep ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r Cep ^r ; Tet ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Tet ^r	
	(81 P)		1	Amp ^r ; Tet ^r	•
Sertung	$\left\{ ^{57\mathrm{S}} ight\}$	C. sphinx angulatus	3	Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Tet ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Tet ^r ; Chl ^r Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Tet ^r ; Sul ^r ; Tri ^r ; Chl ^r	4.0§
	(58S)		1	Amp ^r ; Cep ^r ; Tet ^r	

[†] Including E. aerogenes, E. cloacae and unspeciated isolates. ‡ Amp^r resistant to ampicillin; Cep^r resistant to cephalothin; Tet^r resistant to tetracycline; Sul^r resistant to sulphamethoxazole; Tri^r, resistant to trimethoprim; Gen^r, resistant to gentamicin; Chl^r, resistant to chloramphenicol. § Difference not significant.

on west Java and their complete absence from the rats on Krakatau islands is noteworthy. E. coli isolates (10%) and 27% of Klebsiella isolates from rats on Java were tetracycline resistant; 33% of rats from Java contained either E. coli or Klebsiella that were tetracycline resistant. These significant differences probably indicate a real difference in tetracycline resistance between these bacteria in rats on Java and the Krakatau islands. The reason may well be the presence of tetracycline-resistant human faecal bacteria in the Javan environment.

Rats may ingest these bacteria, as we believe they also ingest *S. faecalis* after contamination of the environment with human faeces. Why human faeces should contain tetracycline-resistant bacteria is an important question and is almost certainly because the human diet contains tetracycline. This substance, being a wide-spectrum antibiotic, is generally taken to treat a variety of infections, and is available in west Java without prescription, along with chloramphenicol, several penicillins (including procaine penicillin for intramuscular injection), sulphonamides, polymyxin, neomycin, streptomycin and fansidar. Erythromycin, gentamicin, trimethoprim, amoxycillin and cephalexin evidently are only sold with a prescription. It is likely that people purchase tetracycline tablets for therapeutic or prophylactic self-medication, and so select tetracycline-resistant *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* in their own intestines. With inadequate

faecal disposal systems these bacteria are then excreted into the environment, where rats ingest them. The tetracycline-resistant bacteria establish themselves in the rats' intestines, as a result of some unknown selective advantage, and are then excreted by the rat.

Another possible explanation may have nothing whatever to do with humans; tetracycline-resistant bacteria may occur naturally in the environment of Java, but not on the Krakatau islands. In this regard, it is noteworthy that we detected significantly more antibiotic-resistant faecal bacteria on Panjang than on the other islands of the Krakatau group. For example, *E. coli* from rats on Panjang were more resistant to chloramphenicol than *E. coli* from rats on Rakata and Sertung (43% compared with 7%). Also *Klebsiella* from bats on Panjang were more resistant to sulphamethoxazole than *Klebsiella* from bats on Rakata (60% compared with 0%). These differences are unlikely to be due to the release of antibiotics into the environment by humans, since Panjang is uninhabited and very rarely visited. Local fishermen sometimes camp on the shore but rarely if ever penetrate far inland. The presence of feral pigs on Panjang may be responsible for these differences. Alternatively, vulcanologists, who lived on Panjang from 1896–97, 1927–35 and 1937–40 may have influenced the ecology of the island. Although they would not have exposed the island to human-derived antibiotics, because antibiotics were not generally available until after World War II, they did introduce plants, e.g. mangos and coconut palms (Thornton & Rosengren 1988).

The most likely reason for the difference in antibiotic resistance between faecal bacteria of mammals on Panjang and those on other islands is the diet of the mammals. This in turn is probably related to the vegetation present on the island and the antibiotic resistance of the bacteria associated with the plants eaten by these mammals. We have previously shown that antibiotic-resistant Gram-negative rods in the soil are associated with plant growth (Graves et al. 1988). The faecal bacteria may be derived from fruit and nuts of these plants. Certainly, antibiotic-resistant bacteria have been demonstrated on fruit and vegetables elsewhere (Remington & Schimpff 1981).

Whatever the correct explanation for the differences observed between faecal bacteria from mammals on Java and the Krakatau Islands and between Panjang and the other islands of the Krakatau group, there is ample evidence that the level of antibiotic resistance of human enteric bacteria is increasing, particularly in countries where antibiotics are readily available and thus often misused, leading to widespread disease (Farrer 1985). Antibiotic resistance can be coded on plasmids and transferred to other bacteria of the same or related species, leading to enhanced spread of the resistant phenotype(s) (Tenover 1986). Recently, E. coli from some developing countries has shown increasing resistance to trimethoprim and sulphamethoxazole (Murray et al. 1985), and so has Shigella dysenteriae in Bangladesh (Shahid et al. 1985). In both cases this change is linked to the increased usage of antibiotics by humans. Routman et al. (1985), in studying E. coli from African baboons, found the antibiotic resistance of E. coli to be similar to that of human E. coli from the pre-antibiotic era, but significantly less than the antibiotic resistance of recent human E. coli isolates. Rolland et al. (1985), studying a different group of African baboons, demonstrated that those baboons living close to a tourist park and feeding from human refuse harboured more antibiotic-resistant enteric bacteria than baboons living elsewhere.

Our study appears to have confirmed that excessive or uncontrolled use of antibiotics by human populations in areas where faecal contamination of the environment is inevitable leads to changes in the enteric flora of wild mammals living in these areas. S. faecalis and tetracycline-

resistant *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* have become established in rats in west Java, whereas rats on the nearby uninhabited Krakatau Islands do not contain these bacteria. To a lesser extent, Javan bats have also been affected by human faecal contamination of the environment.

The authors thank Professor J. Waid, Department of Microbiology, La Trobe University, for encouragement, for financial assistance and for critically reviewing the manuscript. Acknowledgements of other support for the expedition are made in Thornton & Rosengren (1988).

REFERENCES

- Farrar, W. E. 1985 Antibiotic resistance in developing countries. J. infect. Dis. 152, 1103-1106.
- Graves, S. R., Rosengren, N. J., Kennelly-Merrit, S. A., Harvey, K. J. & Thornton, I. W. B. 1988 Antibiotic-resistance patterns and relative concentrations of bacteria (Gram-negative rods) from ash deposits of various ages on the Krakatau Islands. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* B 322, 327-337. (This volume.)
- Murray, B. E., Alvarado, T., Kim, K. H., Vorachit, M., Jayanetra, P., Levine, M. M., Prenzel, I., Fling, M., Elwell, L., McCracken, G. H., Madrigal, G., Odio, C. & Trabulsi, L. R. 1985 Increasing resistance to trimethoprimsulphamethoxazole among isolates of *Escherichia coli* in developing countries. *J. infect. Dis.* 152, 1107–1113.
- Remington, J. S. & Schimpff, S. C. 1981 Please don't eat the salads. New Engl. J. Med. 304, 433-435.
- Rolland, R. M., Hausfater, G., Marshall, B. & Levy, S. B. 1985 Antibiotic-resistant bacteria in wild primates: increased prevalence in baboons feeding on human refuse. *Appl. envir. Microbiol.* 49, 791-794.
- Routman, E., Miller, R. D., Phillips-Conroy, J. & Hartl, D. L. 1985 Antibiotic resistance and population structure in *Escherichia coli* from free-ranging African Yellow Baboons. *Appl. envir. Microbiol.* **50**, 749-754.
- Shahid, N. S., Rahaman, M. M., Haider, K., Banu, H. & Rahman, N. 1985 Changing pattern of resistant Shiga Bacillus (Shigella dysenteriae type 1) and Shigella flexneri in Bangladesh. J. infect. Dis. 152, 1114-1119.
- Tenover, F. 1986 Studies of antimicrobial resistance genes using DNA probes. Antimicrob. Ag. Chemother. 29, 721-725.
- Thornton, I. W. B. 1984 Krakatau, the development and repair of a tropical ecosystem. Ambio 13, 217-225.
- Thornton, I. W. B. & Rosengren, N. J. 1988 Zoological expeditions to the Krakatau Islands, 1984 and 1985: general introduction. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* B 322, 273-316. (This volume.)

31 Vol. 322. B